

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,896	04/11/2005	Shigeki Kondo	03500.017665	2248
****	7590 01/17/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			<u> </u>	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/17/		01/17/2007	PAPER ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant/s)		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/530,896	KONDO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ti- 00041 440 D 2007	Joseph L. Williams	2879		
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition	on of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers				
10) 🖾 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the observation drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4/05 & 8/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/530,896

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because there should be parenthesis around all reference numbers. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harvey, III et al. (US 5,686,360), of record by Applicant.

Regarding claim 1, Harvey, III ('360) teaches in figures 1, 3, and 4, and the corresponding column and lines, a display device (22) comprising a display region having a plurality of organic light emitting elements (12) disposed on a substrate (11), each said organic light emitting element having an organic layer comprising a light emitting layer interposed between an anode (13) and a cathode (14), wherein the display region is formed on a first insulating protective layer (17) provided on the

substrate, and a surface on a side opposite to a substrate side of the display region and an entire periphery of the display region are covered with an insulating protective film (28).

Regarding claim 2, Harvey, III ('360) teaches a flattening insulating film (18, see column 5, lines 1-9) with a substantially flat surface is disposed between the first insulating protective layer and the substrate; a second insulating protective layer (17) is provided between the flattening insulating film and the substrate; and an entire periphery of the flattening insulating film is covered with an insulating protective film.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harvey, III et al. (US 5,686,360), in view of Hirano (US 2002/036462 A1), both of record by Applicant.

Regarding claim 3, Harvey, III ('360) teaches all of the claimed limitations except for wherein at least one of the anode and the cathode is divided in a matrix form; an element-separating portion for isolating at least adjacent ones of the thus discrete electrodes is formed between the adjacent electrodes; and an element-separating

Application/Control Number: 10/530,896

Art Unit: 2879

portion covering layer is provided between the element-separating portion and the organic layer.

Further regarding claim 3, Hirano ('462) teaches in figure 1 and in paragraph (0021) an organic EL display device comprised of, in part, at least one of the anode and the cathode is divided in a matrix form; an element-separating portion (6a) for isolating at least adjacent ones of the thus discrete electrodes is formed between the adjacent electrodes; and an element-separating portion covering layer (6b) is provided between the element-separating portion and the organic layer for the purpose of improving the long term reliability of the display.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the insulating elements of Hirano in the display of Harvey, III for the purpose of improving the long-term reliability of the display.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,896

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joseph L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879 Page 5